Dear Commissioners Smith and Hellerstedt,

As legislators, we seek to uphold the fundamental principle that we are a government of laws, not of men. Implicit in that statement is the need for laws to be interpreted consistently and fairly.

We are deeply concerned that the Department of State Health Services has reversed its previous written neerpretation of the regulations governing the sale of raw milk. In 2013, the agency stated that customers could egally pick up milk for others and distribute it, and that the farmer was in compliance as long as he or she was not felivering.

This interpretation of the regulation is consistent with basic principles of law, including individuals' rights to lesignate an "agent" to act on their behalf. For over two hundred years, the courts have upheld the principle that an agent "stands in the shoes" of the person who has hired him, and that there is no legal difference between an individual taking action and his agent taking that same action. Texans do not sacrifice their normal legal rights simply because they choose to drink raw milk.

Yet the agency has recently embarked on a series of what can best be described as aggressive enforcement actions aimed at both a licensed raw milk farmer and the customers who purchase milk from him. Based on what reportedly are complaints from competitors — not customers — DSHS has taken action both directly and by encouraging action by local health departments.

This new course of action directly conflicts with what the agency assured farmers and consumers was the law as recently as 2013. And by taking these actions, the agency is interfering with both the licensed farmers' ability to conduct their business and the customers' individual rights.

Not one illness has been reported from raw milk in our state in more than four years. A total of six illnesses have occurred in the last 20 years. There is no public health development that would justify DSHS' actions against these small businesses and the consumers who seek out their products.

At a minimum, if the agency contends that greater restrictions are appropriate, it should propose them through the normal rulemaking process, with public notice and the opportunity for public comment. The agency should not adopt what is effectively a new restriction through enforcement mechanisms.

Before taking any action, whether through rulemaking or enforcement, the agency should assess the basis for that action. Our state resources should not be spent on picking business winners and losers, but on things that pose a tru threat to Texans' public health.

Committees: Chairman, Pensions Committee • Investments & Financial Services • Select Committee on Emerging Issues in Law Enforcement Chairman, Task Force on Military and Veterans Affairs, National Conference of State Legislators

Dan.Flynp@house.state.fx.us

## House of Representatives

2910 ns 78768 i3-0880 i-9515 c2-2188



Representir Hunt, Hopkins Van Zandt Cou

Dan Flynn
State Representative • District 2

May God Bless You and May God Bless the Great State of Texas!

Sincerely,

Representative Dan Flynn

House District 2

Bount

Representative Cecil Bell Youse District 3

Insan J. King

Representative Susan King House District 71

West States

epresentative Matt Shaheen louse District 66

epresentative Ina Minjarez

ouse Distric 124

OSof Hall
Senator Bob Hall

Senator Bob Hall Senate District 2

tal

Representative Ryan Guillen House District 31

HAM

Representative James White House District 19

Representative Drew Sprin

Representative Drew Springer House District 68 Your Buton

Senator Konni Burton Senate District 10

> Representative Phil King House District 61

Phil Ving

Representative Bryan Hughes House District 5

White Office

Representative Bill Zedler House District 96