

Candidate Questionnaire: City of Austin City Council Race 2014

Response from Julian Fernandez, District 3 Candidate

1. Many farmers and artisan food producers tell us that their biggest challenge is navigating the regulatory maze. **Do you support reforms at the health department such as simplifying the regulations imposed on local producers and creating an omnibudsman position to help producers understand the requirements?**

Yes

2. While local, sustainable foods have gained a reputation for being higher priced than conventional foods, that is not always the case. And, even when the food is higher priced, it reflects higher production costs that the farmers pay in order to raise food in ways that are environmentally friendly and on a small scale. The result is that profit margins for our local farmers are tiny. Yet the City of Austin has some of the highest permit fees in the state for producers selling at farmers' markets. **Do you support reducing fees to support small-scale local farmers and food producers?**

Comments: I would implement a one time city affordable permit for the whole year. I would also, implement a coalition of local growers and urban famers to develop a service delivery plan and create a guideline on healthy food growing and harvesting.

3. Access to land is another major challenge for people seeking to bring back locally raised foods. **Do you support measures to improve access to land for growing food, such as allowing public property to be used for nonprofit community gardens and working with County officials to reduce property tax burdens on small urban farmers?**

Yes, I will. I do think this could happen and should happen. However, I don't believe we should consider public parks in the public property definition.

4. Sustainable farming methods allowed under the city's code provide not only nutritious food, but also support a clean, healthy environment for our communities. Selling locally raised food provides income (either the primary income or supplemental) for growers, improves access to healthy local foods for consumers, and promotes local economic development. **Do you support people's ability to grow food and sell food in residential areas, subject to reasonable requirements (such as available parking) to protect neighbors' interests?**

Yes Comments: I don't believe that parking is that big of an issue, so long as the laws are not being broken. This is an issue of coexistent. The services that growers provide is a positive impact on the community and educational for our children too.

5. The interest in local foods goes beyond simply purchasing food. Re-connecting people with where and how their food is grown has many benefits. It promotes healthier eating; it creates transparency and encourages farmers to practice environmentally sound agriculture; it builds a sense of community and increased local economic development. An important aspect of rebuilding a local foods community is having people on farms for a wide range of events, including classes on cooking or canning; birthday parties for children that engage kids with their food; and weddings and other celebrations that recognize the vital role that food and our environment play in our lives. **Do you support urban farms' ability to host such events, subject to reasonable requirements (such as available parking and music restrictions) to protect neighbors' interests?**

Yes, Once again parking on public streets is good as long as the law is not broken. After the parties or events I do suggest the good neighbor policy of policing the neighborhood and picking up trash left behind by party goers. Also, amplified music is acceptable so long as a continual of sound db levels are taken and recorded throughout the event. I will support a 9:00pm end of all amplified music.